STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

:

In the Matter of the Petition of

WISCONSIN COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES. AFSCME, AFL-CIO

Involving Certain Employes of

DODGE COUNTY (CLEARVIEW HOME)

Case XVIII No. 16311 ME-866 Decision No. 11469-A

Appearances:

Mr. Jack Bernfeld, Staff Representative, Wisconsin Council 40, AFSCME,
AFL-CIO, 5 Odana Court, Madison, Wisconsin 53719, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Ralph E. Sharpe, Jr., Corporation Counsel, Dodge County Courthouse,
Juneau, Wisconsin 53039, for the County.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER CLARIFYING BARGAINING UNIT

Wisconsin Council of County and Municipal Employees, AFSCME, AFL-CIO having filed a petition on April 19, 1982, requesting the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission to clarify an existing collective bargaining unit to determine whether certain employes should be included in, or excluded from, an existing certified bargaining unit; that under the collective bargaining agreement the unit consists of all regular full-time and regular part-time employes of the Dodge County. Clearview Home, but excluding professional, supervisory, and confidential employes and temporary student help; and hearing on said petition having been conducted on September 8, 1982, at Juneau, Wisconsin, by Andrew Roberts, Examiner; and posthearing briefs having been received by November 1, 1982; and the Commission having considered the evidence and arguments of the parties and being fully advised in the premises, hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order Clarifying Bargaining Unit.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- That Wisconsin Council of County and Municipal Employees, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, hereinafter referred to as the Union, is a labor organization and has its offices at 5 Odana Court, Madison, Wisconsin 53719.
- That Dodge County (Clearview Home), hereinafter referred to as the County, is a municipal employer and has its administrative offices at Juneau, Wisconsin 53039.
- 3. That the County and the Union are currently parties to a collective bargaining agreement that covers all regular full-time and regular part-time employes, excluding professional, confidential, and supervisory employes and temporary student help.
- That the Union, on April 19, 1982, initiated the instant proceeding by the filing of a petition, requesting the Commission to clarify the above-described unit by including the position of Team Leader, which is presently excluded from the bargaining unit; and, that the Employer, contrary to the Union, claims that the Team Leader position should continue to be excluded from the bargaining unit.
- That the Employer operates a two hundred seventy-two (272) bed skilled care nursing home facility; that there is a total of between one-hundred eighty (180) and one-hundred ninety (190) employes at the facility; that prior to January 15, 1982, the home had been an intermediate care facility; that as a result of the change to a skilled care nursing home facility, the Employer altered the organizational structure of the staff; that effective March 1, 1982 the position of Team Leader was created; that a Team Leader may be either a Regis-

tered Nurse (RN) or a Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN); that currently there are no RNs who are Team Leaders, though there have been in the past; and, that while there is also a position of "Staff LPN" which is in the bargaining unit, all LPNs currently hold the position of Team Leader.

- 6. That there are twenty-six (26) Team Leaders in charge of a total of eighty-six (86) employes; that each Team Leader is in charge of between two (2) and eight (8) employes which may include Nursing Assistants (NA), Beauticians, Therapists, Medical Record Clerks and Restorative Nursing Assistants; that the Team Leaders are in turn responsible to either a House Supervisor, which position is occupied by an RN, or a House Manager, which position is occupied by a Team Leader-LPN; that a House Supervisor or a House Manager is responsible for the entire facility on a given shift, except for the day shift which has two House Supervisors and/or Managers, and reports to the Director of Nursing Services, who in turn reports to the Nursing Home Administrator; that there are currently six (6) House Supervisors and three (3) House Managers; that each of the three (3) Team Leaders, who also act as House Managers, function in the capacity of House Manager approximately one-half of the time they work each month, while functioning as a Team Leader the remainder of the time.
- That the duties of an LPN-Team Leader include the normal duties of an LPN, such as passing medications and doing patient treatment, in addition to directing the activities of the employes assigned to that team; that a Team Leader participates in obtaining replacements for absent employes; that if it is necessary for an employe to work overtime, the Team Leader has the authority to grant the overtime; that a Team Leader fills out an annual evaluation of each employe on the team on or about the team member's anniversary date of employment; that the Team Leader reviews the evaluation with the particular employe, pointing out strong and weak points in the employe's performance; that the Director of Nursing Services considers the evaluations when determining how well the employe has performed and whether an employe should be transferred or promoted to a different position; that the hiring, promoting, and transferring of employes is done centrally, though the Team Leader's recommendations and evaluations are considered; that the scheduling of employes is done centrally; that a Team Leader fills out daily assignment sheets, which assign Nursing Assistants to particular duties and patients; that Team Leaders have issued oral/written warnings, which are the first step of the disciplinary procedure under the current collective bargaining agreement on at least two occasions since the Team Leader position was created; that oral/written warnings are not placed in the employe's personnel file; that a Team Leader has the authority to issue written warnings, which is the second step under the discipline procedure of the collective bargaining agreement, although no Team Leader has issued such a warning as of the hearing herein; and, that while Team Leaders have been told they have the authority to either immediately suspend, or, suspend with the intent to discharge, an employe for a serious offense, such as patient abuse, when the Director of Nursing Services is not available, no such actions have been taken since the creation of the Team Leader position; and, that the Team Leaders are expected to act as immediate supervisors under Step 1 of the contractual grievance procedure.
- 8. That Team Leaders receive an hourly wage rate of \$7.25, while the hourly wage rate for the position of Staff LPN is \$6.24.
- 9. That when Team Leaders function as House Managers, they have the same responsibilities with respect to obtaining substitutes for absent employes, granting overtime, evaluating employes, assigning tasks, and disciplining employes as when they function solely as Team Leaders.
- 10. That, although the Team Leaders spend a majority of their time in patient care activities, they issue oral/written warnings, possess the authority to recommend, or, if necessary to administer, more severe discipline, up to and including suspension with the intent to discharge, evaluate the performance of team member employes, control daily work decisions, including the assignment of work, participate in obtaining substitutes when an employe calls in absent, and, approve overtime of team members.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

That the position of Team Leader is supervisory within the meaning of Sec. 111.70(1)(0) of MERA, and therefore, that the occupants of said positions are not municipal employes within the meaning of Section 111.70(1)(b) of the Municipal Employment Relations Act.

·× ~=___.

Upon the basis of the above and foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the Commission makes and issues the following

ORDER CLARIFYING BARGAINING UNIT 1/

That the position of Team Leader be, and hereby is, excluded from the bargaining unit described in Finding of Fact 3.

Given under Aur hands and seal at the City of Madison, Wisconsin this 24th day of March, 1983.

WISCONSIDE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

Herman Torosian, Chairm

Gary L./Covelli, Commissioner

Pursuant to Sec. 227.11(2), Stats., the Commission hereby notifies the parties that a petition for rehearing may be filed with the Commission by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.12(1) and that a petition for judicial review naming the Commission as Respondent, may be filed by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.16(1)(a), Stats.

^{227.12} Petitions for rehearing in contested cases. (1) A petition for rehearing shall not be prerequisite for appeal or review. Any person aggrieved by a final order may, within 20 days after service of the order, file a written petition for rehearing which shall specify in detail the grounds for the relief sought and supporting authorities. An agency may order a rehearing on its own motion within 20 days after service of a final order. This subsection does not apply to s. 17.025 (3)(e). No agency is required to conduct more than one rehearing based on a petition for rehearing filed under this subsection in any contested case.

^{227.16} Parties and proceedings for review. (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person aggrieved by a decision specified in s. 227.15 shall be entitled to judicial review thereof as provided in this chapter.

⁽a) Proceedings for review shall be instituted by serving a petition therefor personally or by certified mail upon the agency or one of its officials, and filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings are to be held. Unless a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, petitions for review under this paragraph shall be served and filed within 30 days after the service of the decision of the agency upon all parties under s. 227.11. If a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, any party desiring judicial review shall serve and file a petition for review within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of the application for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any such application for rehearing. The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition under this paragraph commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency. If the petitioner is a resident, the proceedings shall be held in the circuit court for the county where the petitioner resides, except that if the petitioner is an agency, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for the county where the respondent resides and except as provided in ss. 182.70(6) and 182.71(5)(g). The proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane county if the petitioner is a nonresident. If all parties stipulate and the court to which the parties desire to transfer the proceedings agrees, the proceedings may be held in the county designated by If 2 or more petitions for review of the same decision are filed in different counties, the circuit judge for the county in which a petition for review of the decision was first filed shall determine the venue for judicial review of the decision, and shall order transfer or consolidation where appropriate.

MEMORANDUM ACCOMPANYING FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER CLARIFYING BARGAINING UNIT

The Union, contrary to the County, contends that the twenty-six (26) Team Leaders employed at the Clearview Nursing Home ought to be included in the bargaining unit. Thus, the crux of the dispute is whether the Team Leader has sufficient supervisory responsibilities over other employes to be excluded from the unit. The Commission has repeatedly considered the following factors in determining if a position is supervisory in nature:

- The authority to effectively recommend the hiring, promotion, transfer, discipline or discharge of employes;
- 2. The authority to direct and assign the work force;
- The number of employes supervised, and the number of other persons exercising greater, similar or lesser authority over the same employes;
- 4. The level of pay, including an evaluation of whether the supervisor is paid for his skills or for his supervision of employes;
- 5. Whether the supervisor is primarily supervising an activity or is primarily supervising employes;
- 6. Whether the supervisor is a working supervisor or whether he spends a substantial majority of his time supervising employes;
- 7. The amount of independent judgment exercised in the supervision of employes. 2/

The Commission has held that not all of the above factors need to be present, but if a sufficient number of these factors appear in any given case, the Commission will find an employe to be a supervisor. 3/ The record clearly demonstrates that Team Leaders supervise employes. Though they spend a majority of their time doing the normal duties of an LPN, 4/ they also have significant and effective authority over employes under their supervision. Team Leaders direct the day-to-day activities of employes, such as the daily assignment of patients and duties, the approval of overtime, and the obtaining of substitutes for absent employes, when necessary. In addition, Team Leaders have issued "oral/written" warnings and have the authority to recommend or take more severe disciplinary action. More-

^{2/} Fond du Lac County (10579-A) 1/72; and Kenosha County (Brookside Care Center) (19435) 3/82.

^{3/} Kenosha County (Brookside Care Center) ibid.

^{4/} As noted in Finding of Fact 5, RN's may also hold the position of Team Leader; however, none do so as of the hearing herein.

over, Team Leaders annually make written evaluations of employes under their supervision. Based on such factors, the Commission concludes that the position of Team Leader is supervisory, and, therefore, is excluded from the bargaining unit.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 24th day of March, 1983.

WISCONSIDE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

y ____

Herman Torosian Chairman

Gary L./Covelli, Commissioner

We are aware that hearing was held only six months after the Team Leader position was established and thus, of necessity, our decision is based on limited evidence regarding the actual exercise of the Team Leader's supervisory responsibilities. Should the parties experience since the hearing reflect a different distribution of responsibility, a different result may be warranted.