## STATE OF WISCONSIN

#### BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

	•
In the Matter of the Petition of	:
MILWAUKEE DISTRICT COUNCIL 48, AFSCME, AFL-CIO AND ITS AFFILIATED LOCAL 1954	
For a Referendum on the Q <b>ues</b> tion of an All-Union Agreement between	Case II No. 17712 R-5622 Decision No. 12653
INNER CITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, INC. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Employer	
and MILWAUKEE DISTRICT COUNCIL 48, AFSCME, AFL-CIO AND ITS AFFILIATED LOCAL 1954, Union	
	: 
Appearances:	

Mr. Nick Ballas, Staff Representative, appearing on behalf of the Petitioner.

Mr. Frederick A. Miller, Attorney at Law, appearing on behalf of the Employer.

### DIRECTION OF REFERENDUM

Milwaukee District Council 48, AFSCME, AFL-CIO and its affiliated Local 1954 having filed a petition with the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission requesting that the Commission conduct a referendum among certain employes of Inner City Development Project, Inc. to determine whether the required number of such employes favor an "All-Union Agreement" between said Petitioner and said Employer; and hearing in the matter having been held on April 23, 1974, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Marshall L. Gratz, Hearing Officer, being present; and the Commission being satisfied that a question concerning the authorization of an "All-Union Agreement" exists;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### DIRECTED

That a referendum by secret ballot be conducted under the direction of the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission in the collective bargaining unit consisting of all employes of Inner City Development Project, Inc. including Center Managers, Assistant Center Managers (Supervisors), Specialists, Community Workers (all levels 1-2-3) and Secretaries, but excluding Project Director, Assistant Project Director and Administrative Secretary, who were employed by the Employer on April 26, 1974, except such employes as may prior to the referendum quit their employment or be discharged for cause, for the purpose of determining whether or not the required number of such employes favor an "All-Union Agreement" between the Employer and the Union named above.

> Given under our hands and seal at the City of Madison, Wisconsin, this 26th day of April, 1974.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

laine. noa By .

Morris Slavney, Chairman

Howard S. Bellman Howard S. Bellman, Commissioner

INNER CITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, INC., II, Decision No. 12653

# MEMORANDUM ACCOMPANYING DIRECTION OF REFERENDUM

The petition in the instant case was filed with the Commission on March 5, 1974. As originally filed, the petition set forth the following "Description of Claimed Appropriate Bargaining Unit":

"Included:	Center Managers	
	Assistant Center Managers (Supervisors)	
	Specialists	
	Community Workers (all levels 1-2-3)	
	Secrutaries	
Excluded:	Executive Director	
	Assistant Executive Director	
	Executive Secretary"	

During the hearing it was stipulated that the Employer had theretofore voluntarily recognized the Union, in writing, as representative of employes in the above noted bargaining unit. 1/

Following an informal discussion between the parties, it was stipulated that the voluntarily recognized bargaining unit would be properly described as follows:

"All employes of Inner City Development Project, Inc. including Center Managers, Assistant Center Managers (Supervisors), Specialists, Community Workers (all levels 1-2-3) and Secretaries, but excluding Project Director, Assistant Project Director and Administrative Secretary."

During the discussion concerning the proper description of the voluntarily recognized bargaining unit, Center Manager Rosemary Holley asserted that Center Managers have the authority to hire and fire and should therefore be excluded from the collective bargaining unit as supervisors. The representatives of the Union and the Employer remained in agreement that Center Managers were included in the existing voluntarily recognized bargaining unit and neither requested any clarification thereof. The Hearing Officer informed Ms. Holley that a determination by the Commission that a referendum vote be conducted in the voluntarily recognized unit would not constitute a Commission determination as to the appropriateness of that unit and would not, in and of itself, affect the rights of any party to seek a clarification of the voluntarily recognized bargaining unit.

The Commission has directed that a referendum be conducted in the collective bargaining unit with respect to which the Employer has voluntarily recognized the Union as exclusive representative.

It was agreed that the eligibility cut-off date would be the date of the Commission's Direction of Referendum herein.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this 26th day of April, 1974.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

Morris Slavney, Chairman

Howard B. Bellinan Howard S. Bellman, Commissioner

.

<sup>1/</sup> That fact was noted in the Commission's Order of Dismissal of a petition for election filed by the Union. See, Case I, Decision No. 12386 (1/74).