STATE OF WISCONSIN

DEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS CONDISSION

In the matter of the Petition of	•	
ETSIRICT COUNCIL 48 AND LOCAL 1486,	•	
ALSCHE, AFL-CIO	•	Case VII No. 20090 mE-1282
Involving Certain Employes of	•	Decision no. 14666
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1, VILLAGE OF WHITLEISH DAY 1/	:	
	•	
. pearances.		for the Detitions
Lr. Lrv Horak, Business Represen Hulcahy & Wherry, Attorneys at L the Hunicipal Employer.	aw, by h	r. John Cougnlin, for

· LIRECTION OF LEECTION

District Council 48 and Local 1486, AFSCHE, AFL-CIO, herein referred to as Petitioner, having on January 28, 1976, filed a petition with the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission requesting the Commission to conduct an election among certain employes of School District MO. 1, Village of Whitefish Bay, herein referred to as the Hunicipal Employer, to determine whether such employes desired to be represented for purposes of collective bargaining; and hearing in the matter having been held at whitefish Bay, Wisconsin on March 15, 1976, Stanley H. Michelstetter 11, hearing Officer being present, and the Commission having considered the evidence and arguments of the parties and being satisfied that a question has arisen concerning representation for certain employes of the Lunicipal Employer;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

DIVEGLED

That an election by secret ballot be conducted under the direction of the wisconsin Employment Relations Commission within thirty (30) days from the date of this Directive in the collective Dargaining unit consisting of all regular full-time and regular part-time clerical employes employed by School District No. 1, Village of Whitefish Day, excluding supervisory, managerial and confidential employes, who were employed by the Hunicipal Employer on date of this Direction, except such employees as may prior to the election quit their employment or DC discharged for cause, for the purpose of determining whether such employes desire to be represented by District Council 48 and Local 1486, AFSCHE, AFL-CFO, for the purposes of collective bargaining with the Hunicipal Employer on questions of wages, nours and conditions of employment.

Given under our hands and seal at the City of Madison, Wisconsin this 28/1 day of may, 1976. WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION laurey 5 Nor Morris Slavney, Chairman Herman Torosian, Commissioner

1/ During the hearing the parties stipulated to the Employer's correct name.

WALTETISH DAY JT. SCHOOL DIST. NO. 1, VII, Decision No. 14000

FELCIVATION ACCOMPANYING DIRECTION OF ELECTION.

buring the course of the hearing issues arose as to whether the occupants of certain positions should be included or excluded from the onit. 2/

The Aunicipal Employer, contrary to the Petitioner, contends that the Executive Secretary to the Superintendent of Schools, Bernadine Queener; Head Bookkeeper, Lois Anderson; Secretary to the Director of Instruction and Personnel, Ruth Schmidt; and Secretary to the Superintendent of Euilding and Grounds, Eileen Bessett, are all confidential employes and that Lois Anderson is also a supervisor.

LAECUTIVE SECRETARY TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Queener is responsible for the typing of second level responses to teacher grievances for the superintendent. She is present during the municipal Employer's deliberations with respect to third level teacher and custodial grievances. After those deliberations she types a tentative response which is not intended to be made immediately available to the grievants. If the Eunicipal Employer finally adopts the response, it is forwarded; if not, it is never made available to the Union. She also types the Eunicipal Employer's proposals for teacher collective Dargaining. She also apparently is present during management strategy and planning sessions and types minutes thereof which are never revealed outside management circles. As part of her regular responsibility she types teacher reprimands and maintains confidential personnel files in the Superintendent's office. On the basis of the foregoing, we find that Queener is a confidential employe.

SECRETARY TO THE DIRECTOR OF INSTRUCTION AND PERSONNEL

Schmidt performs all the personnel typing for the Director of Instruction and Personnel. She types preliminary notices of teacher nonrenewals, the Director's supervision reports for teachers, the Aunicipal Employer's personnel report, all of which contain sensitive disciplinary information. Only the supervision report and preliminary notice of nonrenewal are available to the employe involved and none of the foregoing is available to any employe organization. Schmidt does not have access to confidential personnel files nor other matters concerning the custodial bargaining unit.

Smith will be privy to the development of collective bargaining policy with the instant unit should it select a collective bargaining representative. Schmidt will then be present at confidential planning sessions, type minutes thereof and prepare tentative collective bargaining proposals. We are thus satisfied that Schmidt is a confidential employe.

ILAD BOOKKELPEK

while Gland, the Director of Business Services, is responsible for the costing of the Hunicipal Employer's tentative proposals and those received from labor organizations, Anderson is responsible for, and has

2/ The parties stipulated that the Secretary to the Director of Business Services, Edith Dingman, is a confidential employe.

-2-

considerable knowledge of, the municipal Employer's bookkeeping system. Anderson uses this knowledge to assist Gland in devising systems for costing out possible collective bargaining proposals from management and to develop reliable data therefor. In addition Anderson also costs out Union proposals. Decause Anderson is privy to and participates in the development of the proposals of the Hunicipal Employer, we find that Anderson is a confidential employe and therefore excluded from the unit. 3/

SECRETARY TO THE DIRECTOR OF BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

The Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds, A. Bostad, is the supervisor of the custodial unit represented by Petitioner. Bostad is a close advisor to Gland in matters of negotiations with respect to that unit and is responsible for the first level of the grievance procedure. Bostad's offices are located away from all other management offices.

Bessette, Bostad's secretary is not involved in the negotiating process, except when Bostad assigns her to retrieve information for those portions of the costing process Gland has assigned him. Dessette's costing work product is available to Petitioner. 4/ Written grievances are filed with Bostad at the first step of the grievance procedure. Bostad meets with the grievant and the Petitioner and answers the grievance orally, after which dessette types up his answer. The written answer is immediately available to the Petitioner. When bostad intends to issue a written reprimand to an employe, he meets with the employe and a representative of the Petitioner, reprimands him orally and thereafter has bessette type a written reprimand. Petitioner is aware of the contents of the written reprimand. Bessette types Bostad's evaluations of custodial employes which are not available to the Petitioner. Bessette has access to custodial employes' personnel files, but does not have responsibility for maintaining them. Her functions require access only to the nonconfidential portions thereof. In view of the minimal nature of the evaluation typing function and personnel file access, we are satisfied that Bessette is not a confidential employe, and therefore eligible to vote.

Dated at madison, Wisconsin this Xthe day of may, 1976.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS CONTISSION

lorri Chairman ney, Herman Torosian, Commissioner

4/ Article VI at p. 5 of Custodial Agreement, tr. p. 11.

-3-

NO. 14666

^{3/} Since we have excluded the head bookkeeper as confidential, we deem it unnecessary to determine whether the position is supervisory.