### STATE OF WISCONSIN

### BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

	-
BARRY D. BICKLE,	: :
Complainant,	: Case XX : No. 21255 MP-709
VS.	: Decision No. 15209-A
CITY OF JANESVILLE, STREET DEPARTMENT, JIM KOLSTAD AND KEN BIENASH,	
Respondents.	•
	:

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO MAKE COMPLAINT MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN

Barry D. Bickle, having filed a complaint with the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission alleging that the City of Janesville, Jim Kolstad and Ken Bienash violated Chapter 111 of the Wisconsin Statutes; and the Commission having appointed Ellen J. Henningsen to act as Examiner in the matter; and subsequently the Respondents having filed a motion to make the complaint more definite and certain; and the Examiner having considered the motion and being satisfied that the motion should be granted;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### ORDERED

1. That the motion to make the complaint more definite and certain in the above-entitled matter be, and the same hereby is, granted and that therefore the Complainant shall file an amended complaint, specifying which section or sections of the Municipal Employment Relations Act the Respondents have allegedly violated by the acts stated in the complaint.

That said amended complaint shall be filed by the Complainant 2. with the Commission, a copy to Respondents, on or before February 11, 1977.

That Respondents may file an answer to the amended complaint on or before February 18, 1977.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 3rd day of February, 1977.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

By <u>Ullen J. Henningsen</u> Ellen J. Henningsen, Examiner

ŝ

## CITY OF JANESVILLE, XX, Decision No. 15209-A

# MEMORANDUM ACCOMPANYING ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO MAKE COMPLAINT MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN

Complainant alleged that Respondents had violated Chapter 111 of the Wisconsin Statutes. Although he did not specifically allege that the Municipal Employment Relations Act had been violated, it can be assumed that he is alleging a violation of the Municipal Employment Relations Act since he named the City of Janesville, a municipal employer, as a Respondent. Moreover, the Respondents apparently understood that to be the case as the motion to make more definite and certain referred to the Wisconsin Administrative Code, sec. ERB 12.02(2) which applies to the procedure to be followed when a complaint alleging a violation of the Municipal Employment Relations Act has been filed.

However, inasmuch as Complainant has failed to specify the section or sections of the Municipal Employment Relations Act which the Respondents have allegedly violated by the acts stated in the complaint, the Complainant is required to provide said information pursuant to Wisconsin Administrative Code, secs. ERB 12.02(2) and 12.03(3). Absent a showing of good cause, failure to file an amended complaint containing the required information on or before February 11, 1977 shall be grounds for dismissal of the complaint.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 3rd day of February, 1977.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

By Ellen J. Henningsen Ellen J. Henningsen, Examiner