

STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MILWAUKEE TEACHERS' EDUCATION ASSOCIATION,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	Case XCI
vs.	:	No. 22051 MP-789
	:	Decision No. 15829-C
BOARD OF SCHOOL DIRECTORS OF MILWAUKEE,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	
	:	

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND SET ASIDE FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Milwaukee Teacher's Education Association having filed complaints on September 14, 1977, with the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission alleging that Milwaukee Board of School Directors had committed prohibited practices within the meaning of Section 111.70(3)(a) of the Municipal Employment Relations Act; and the Commission having appointed Thomas L. Yaeger, a member of its staff, to serve as Examiner and make and issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order as provided in Section 111.70(5), of the Wisconsin Statutes; and complaints having been consolidated for hearing and hearing having been held at Milwaukee Wisconsin on November 14, 15, 16, 18, 1977, and January 18, 19, 1978; and the Examiner having on August 2, 1979, issued Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order; and Complainant having on August 21, 1979, filed a motion to reconsider and set aside decision 1/; and the Examiner having considered said motion and being satisfied that it should be granted;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

1. That the Examiner's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order issued in the aforesaid matter are hereby set aside.
2. That the Examiner shall reconsider his Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order issued in the aforesaid matter.
3. That Complainant and Respondent shall have thirty (30) days from the date of this order within which to file arguments concerning whether the Examiner should amend his Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order issued in the aforesaid matter.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 21st day of August, 1979.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT REALTIONS COMMISSION

By Thomas L. Yaeger
 Thomas L. Yaeger, Examiner

1/ Complainant simultaneously filed a petition for review, but advised it was doing so only as a protective measure to preserve its right to appeal and preferred the Examiner reconsider his decision.