## STATE OF WISCONSIN

#### BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of	:	
RICHLAND CENTER COUNCIL OF AUXILIARY PERSONNEL/SOUTHWEST TEACHERS UNITED	:	Case III No. 25583 ME-1783
Involving Certain Employes of	•	Decision No. 17945
RICHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT	• • •	
Appearances: Mr. Paul R. Bierbrauer, Executive	 Director,	South West Teachers

- United, Route 1, Barber Avenue, Livingston, Wisconsin 53554 appearing on behalf of the Petitioner. <u>Ar. David E. Shaw</u>, Mulcahy & Wherry, Attorneys at Law, S.C.,
- Mr. David E. Shaw, Mulcahy & Wherry, Attorneys at Law, S.C., 110 East Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the School District.
- <u>Mr. Darold Lowe and Mr. James Ellingson</u>, Representatives, for American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees, Council 40, 5 Odana Court, Madison, Wisconsin 53715, appearing on behalf of the First Intervenor.
- Mr. Francis Mueller, an Individual, for certain employes of the Richland School District, 125 S. Central Avenue, Richland Center, Wisconsin 53581 appearing on behalf of the second Intervenor.

# FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DIRECTION OF ELECTIONS

Richland Center Council of Auxiliary Personnel/South West Teachers United having, on January 9, 1980, filed a petition requesting the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission to conduct an election, pursuant to the Municipal Employment Relations Act, to determine what, if any representation certain employes in the employ of Richland School District desired for the purposes of collective bargaining; and hearing in the matter having been conducted at Richland Center, Wisconsin on February 8, 1980, before William C. Houlihan, an Examiner of the Commission; and during the course of said hearing American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 40, as well as Mr. Francis Mueller, an individual claiming to represent certain employes involved, having been permitted to intervene in the instant matter; and the Commission, having reviewed the evidence as well as the briefs of the parties, being fully advised in the premises, makes and issues the following

# FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That Richland Center Council of Auxiliary Personnel/South West Teachers United, hereinafter referred to as SWTU, is a labor organization and has its offices at Route 1, Barber Avenue, Livingston, Wisconsin.

No. 17945

2. That Richland School District, hereinafter referred to as the District, operates a public school system and has its administrative offices at 125 South Central Avenue, Richland Center, Wisconsin.

3. That American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, Council 40, hereinafter referred to as AFSCME, is a labor organization and has its offices at 5 Odana Court, Madison, Wisconsin.

4. That Francis Mueller, is an individual residing at Route 1, Richland Center, Wisconsin; and that at all times material herein Mueller has been employed as a Custodian by the District.

5. That in the instant proceeding SWTU seeks an election to determine the collective bargaining representative in a bargaining unit of employes consisting of all non-certified regular full-time and regular part-time employes of the District, including secretaries, food service teachers' aides, bookkeepers and crossing guards in the employ of the District, but excluding custodial/ maintenance workers, the District Administrator, and other supervisory, managerial and confidential employes; that during the course of the hearing herein the District, and Mueller, who claims to speak for the custodial/maintenance workers, contends that the custodial/ maintenance workers would constitute an appropriate unit and further that the unit proposed by SWTU is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining; and that however AFSCME would have the Commission conduct the election in a broader unit, on which would not only include the employes desired by SWTU, but one which would also include the custodial/maintenance workers.

6. That at the outset of the hearing all parties stipulated that the following individuals, occupying the positions noted, were to be excluded from any unit found to be appropriate by the Commission, on the basis noted:

- B. Misurelli Secretary to District Administrator Confidential
- J. Alwin Secretary to Business Manager Confidential
- L. R. Blanchard Supervisor of Transportation and Custodial Services
- K. Ewing Food Service Supervisor

7. That the various non-instructional employes of the District are employed throughout eleven buildings maintained by the District; that, except for four or five otherwise eligible secretarial and clerical employes, who are employed on a twelve month basis, the remaining secretarial and clerical employes, aides, food service workers, and crossing guards are employed on a school term basis; and that all but two of the custodial/maintenance employes are employed on a twelve month basis, with the remaining two working only during the school term. The secretarial/clerical employes and aides, all perform dissimular duties and the maintenance/custodial and food service employes each have separate supervision; that, while there has been no history of formal collective bargaining for any of the non-instructional employes of the District, for the past two years a Personnel Committee, consisting of representatives of the maintenance/custodial, food service, and secretarial/clerical employes met with representatives of the District for the purpose of an exchange of views with regard to wages, paid holidays, vacations, life insurance, health insurance, and sick leave; that a result thereof the District for the school year 1979-1980 established benefits for the non-instructional employes in the above matters with differences therein, depending on whether they were full-time (12 month basis, eight hours per day), term employes (employed from 170 to 220 work days in each school year for four or more hours each day), term/summer employes (who work for the school term in a particular position and who are additionally employed 10 weeks or more during the summer in another position), or part-time employes (work during the school term less than four hours per day, or who work eight hours a day for a given period).

Upon the basis of the above and foregoing Findings of Fact, the Commission makes and issues the following

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. That questions of representation, within the meaning of Section 111.70(4)(d) of the Municipal Employment Relations Act, have arisen among certain employes of the Richland School District.

2. That all regular full-time and regular part-time secretarial/ clerical employes, food service employes, aides and crossing guards in the employ of Richland Center District, excluding custodial/maintenance employes, professional employes, managerial, supervisory and confidential employes, may constitute an appropriate collective bargaining unit within the meaning of Section 111.70(4)(d) 2.a. of the Municipal Employment Relations Act.

3. That all regular full-time and regular part-time custodial/ maintenance employes in the employ of Richland School District, excluding all other employes, as well as excluding managerial, supervisory and confidential employes, may constitute an appropriate collective bargaining unit within the meaning of Section 111.70(4)(d)2.a. of the Municipal Employment Relations Act..

4. That all regular full-time and regular part-time nonprofessional employes in the employ of the Richland School District, including custodial/maintenance employes, secretarial/clerical employes, food service employes, aides, and crossing guards, excluding professional employes, as well as excluding managerial, supervisory and confidential employes, may constitute an appropriate collective bargaining unit within the meaning of Section 111.70(4)(d)2.a. of the Municipal Employment Relations Act.

Upon the basis of the above and foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Commission makes and issues the following

#### DIRECTION OF ELECTIONS

That elections by secret ballot shall be conducted under the direction of the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission within thirty (30) days from the date of this Directive in the following voting groups for the following stated purposes:

#### VOTING GROUP NO. 1

All regular full-time and regular part-time secretarial/clerical employes, food service employes, aides and crossing guards in the employ of Richland School District, excluding custodial/maintenance

No. 17945

-3-

employes, professional employes, managerial, supervisory and confidential employes, who were employed on July 16, 1980, except such employes as may prior to the election quit their employment or be discharged for cause, for the purpose of determining whether a majority of such employes voting desire to be represented by Richland Center Council of Auxiliary Personnel/South West Teachers United, or by American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 40, or by neither of said organizations, for the purposes of collective bargaining with Richland School District on questions of wages, hours and conditions of employment.

## VOTING GROUP NO. 2

All regular full-time and regular part-time custodial/maintenance employes in the employ of Richland School District, excluding all other employes, as well as excluding managerial, supervisory and confidential employes, who were employed on July 16, 1980, except such employes as may prior to the election quit their employment or be discharged for cause, for the purpose of determining whether (1) a majority of the employes in said voting group desire to be included in the bargaining unit described as Voting Group No. 1; and (2) whether a majority of such employes voting desire to be represented by Richland Center Council of Auxiliary Personnel/South West Teachers United, or by American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 40, or by neither of said organizations, for the purposes of collective bargaining with Richland School District on questions of wages, hours and conditions of employment.

> Given under our hands and seal at the City of Madison, Wisconsin this 16th day of July, 1980.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

avro By Slavney Chairman Morrís 2. Torosian, Commissioner Herman A Covelli, Commissioner

RICHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT, Case III, Decision No. 17945

#### MEMORANDUM ACCOMPANYING FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DIRECTION OF ELECTION

SWTU seeks an election in a unit consisting of all regular fulltime and regular part-time non-professional employes of the District excluding the maintenance and custodial employes and those excluded by statute. The District and an employe contending to speak for maintenance/custodial employes concur in the propriety of the petitioned for bargaining unit, which would contain 58 employes. AFSCME contends that the only appropriate unit is a "wall to wall" unit of non-professional employes of the District, which unit would include the petitioned for unit, and additionally the maintenance and custodial employes.

In determining which unit is appropriate, the Commission must consider Section 111.70(4)(d)2.a. of MERA, which provides as follows:

> The Commission shall determine the appropriate unit for the purpose of collective bargaining and shall whenever possible avoid fragmentation by maintaining as few units as practicable in keeping with the size of the total municipal work force. In making such determination, the Commission may decide whether, in a particular case, the employes in the same or several departments, divisions, institutions, crafts, professions or other occupational groupings constitute a unit.

There are five groups of non-professional employes employed by the District. The various groups performing different duties and utilize different skills. These groups consist of a total of 80 employes, namely, 30 secretarial/clericals, 11 aides, 19 custodial/ maintenance, 17 food service and 3 crossing guards. Custodial/ maintenance, as well as food service employes have separate supervision, as do the secretarial/clericals and aides. There are some similarities in employment benefits. Such similarities are not based on employe groupings as such, but rather on whether a particular employe is a 12-month, school year, and full-time or part-time employe. Thus, the type of duties performed and the wages and benefits received by the employes in the various general job categories would appear to support separate units based on the differences in duties, supervision, wages and benefits. However, such a determination would result in undue fragmentation of bargaining units, especially in view of the overall size of the non-professional work force.

In light of the various positions of the parties regarding the appropriate unit or units to be established herein, and in light of the fact that, in the past, the Commission has established units consisting of custodial personnel only 1/, we have concluded that an overall non-professional unit would be appropriate with or without the inclusion of the custodial employes, and therefore we directed the various elections herein to effectuate that result. Should the custodial employes vote not to be so included, two units of non-

<sup>1/</sup> Lodi Schools (16667) 11/78.

professional employes will have been established. Therefore, in this proceeding the custodial employes (Voting Group No. 2) will be given two ballots (1) to determine whether they desire to be included in a single unit with the remaining non-professional employes (Voting Group No. 2), and (2) what representation they desire, if any, for the purposes of collective bargaining. The custodial employes who appear to vote will be instructed to place their representation ballots in a furnished blank white envelope and to seal such envelope and deposit same in the ballot box. The unit determination ballot will be instructed to deposit their unit determination ballots in the ballot box.

The unit determination ballots cast by the custodial employes will be initially counted, and should a majority of the eligible custodial employes vote in favor of being included in a unit with remaining non-professional employes, the sealed envelopes, containing the ballots of the custodians with respect to representation will be opened and their ballots will be co-mingled with the representation ballots cast by the remaining non-professional employes, and thereafter the tally will include the representation ballots cast by all employes.

Should a majority of the custodial employes eligible not vote in favor of being combined in a unit with the remaining nonprofessional employes, then the custodial employes shall constitute a separate unit, and their representation ballots will not be comingled with the representation ballots cast by the remaining nonprofessional employes. Should that end result the representation ballots cast by the custodial employes will be tallied to determine their choice as to their bargaining representative .

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin 16th day of July, 1980.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

20 Bv  $n \cdot \cdot$ Slavney Chairman Morr ňs. C Torosian, Commissioner Herman Covelli, Commissioner