STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

PHYLLIS ANNE BROWNE, BEVERLY ENGELLAND, :
ELENORE PELISKA, BETTY C. BASSETT, :
YETTA DEITCH, VIRGINIA LEMBERGER, :
DONNA SCHLAEFER, KATHERINE L. HANNA, :
LORRAINE TESKE, JUDITH D. BERNS, :
NINETTE SUNN, MARY MARTINETTO, :
CHARLOTTE M. SCHMIDT and ESTHER
PALSGOVE, et al, :

Complainants,

VS.

THE MILWAUKEE BOARD OF SCHOOL

DIRECTORS; THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF

STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES,

AFL-CIO; DISTRICT COUNCIL 48, AMERICAN

FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND

MUNICIPAL EMPLOYES, AFL-CIO; JOSEPH

ROBISON, DIRECTOR OF DISTRICT

COUNCIL #48; LOCAL 1053, AMERICAN

FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND

MUNICIPAL EMPLOYES, AFL-CIO; MARGARET

SILKEY, as President of Local 1053;

and FLORENCE TEFELSKE, as Treasurer

of Local 1053,

Respondents.

Case 99 No. 23535 MP-892 Decision No. 18408-0

Appearances:

Mr. Raymond J. LaJeunnesse, Jr., Attorney at Law, National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation, Inc., 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 600, Springfield, Virginia 22160 and Lindner & Marsach, S.C., by Mr. Charles P. Stevens, Attorney at Law, 411 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, for the Complainants.

Lawton & Cates, S.C., by Mr. John H. Bowers, Attorney at Law, 214 West Mifflin Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703-2594, for Respondent Unions.

Mr. Larry P. Weinberg, General Counsel, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, 1101 17th Street, N.W., Suite 1210, Washington D.C. 20036, for Respondent Unions.

Mr. Stuart S. Mukamal, Assistant City Attorney, 800 City Hall, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, for Respondent Milwaukee Board of School Directors.

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

On April 8, 1994 Complainants filed a Motion to Dismiss in the above matter asking that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice. No objections to the Motion have been received and the Commission is satisfied the Motion to Dismiss should be granted.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED 1/

The complaint is dismissed with prejudice.

Given under our hands and seal at the City of Madison, Wisconsin this 30th day of August,

1994

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

By A. Henry Hempe /s/
A. Henry Hempe, Chairperson

Herman Torosian /s/
Herman Torosian, Commissioner

William K. Strycker /s/
William K. Strycker, Commissioner

Pursuant to Sec. 227.48(2), Stats., the Commission hereby notifies the parties that a petition for rehearing may be filed with the Commission by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.49 and that a petition for judicial review naming the Commission as Respondent, may be filed by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.53, Stats.

227.49 Petitions for rehearing in contested cases. (1) A petition for rehearing shall not be prerequisite for appeal or review. Any person aggrieved by a final order may, within 20 days after service of the order, file a written petition for rehearing which shall specify in detail the grounds for the relief sought and supporting authorities. An agency may order a rehearing on its own motion within 20 days after service of a final order. This subsection does not apply to s. 17.025(3)(e). No agency is required to conduct more than one rehearing based on a petition for rehearing filed under this subsection in any contested case.

227.53 Parties and proceedings for review. (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person aggrieved by a decision specified in s. 227.52 shall be entitled to judicial review thereof as provided in this chapter.

(a) Proceedings for review shall be instituted by serving a petition therefore personally or by certified mail upon the agency or one of its officials, and filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings are to be held. Unless a rehearing is requested under s. 227.49, petitions for review under this paragraph shall be served and filed within 30 days after the service of the decision of the agency upon all parties under s. 227.48. If a rehearing is requested under s. 227.49, any party desiring judicial review shall serve and file a petition for review within 30 days after

(footnote 1 continued on page 3)
(footnote 1 continued from page 2)

service of the order finally disposing of the application for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any such application for rehearing. The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition under this paragraph commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency. If the petitioner is a resident, the proceedings shall be held in the circuit court for the county where the petitioner resides, except that if the petitioner is an agency, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for the county where the respondent resides and except as provided in ss. 77.59(6)(b), 182.70(6) and 182.71(5)(g). The proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane county if the petitioner is a nonresident. If all parties

PGD/dla 18408-0.d - 2 -

No. 18408

stipulate and the court to which the parties desire to transfer the proceedings agrees, the proceedings may be held in the county designated by the parties. If 2 or more petitions for review of the same decision are filed in different counties, the circuit judge for the county in which a petition for review of the decision was first filed shall determine the venue for judicial review of the decision, and shall order transfer or consolidation where appropriate.

(b) The petition shall state the nature of the petitioner's interest, the facts showing that petitioner is a person aggrieved by the decision, and the grounds specified in s. 227.57 upon which petitioner contends that the decision should be reversed or modified.

ard be reverbed or modified

. . .

(c) Copies of the petition shall be served, personally or by certified mail, or, when service is timely admitted in writing, by first class mail, not later than 30 days after the institution of the proceeding, upon all parties who appeared before the agency in the proceeding in which the order sought to be reviewed was made.

Note: For purposes of the above-noted statutory time-limits, the date of Commission service of this decision is the date it is placed in the mail (in this case the date appearing immediately above the signatures); the date of filing of a rehearing petition is the date of actual receipt by the Commission; and the service date of a judicial review petition is the date of actual receipt by the Court and placement in the mail to the Commission.