

STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

THOMAS R. VILMIN,

Complainant,

vs.

TRANSPORTATION SERVICE OF
WATERTOWN,

Respondent.

Case I
No. 29405 Ce-1944
Decision No. 19535-D

Appearances:

Mr. Thomas R. Vilmin, 1153 Boughton Street, 10G, Watertown, Wisconsin
53094, appearing pro se.

Lindner, Honzik, Marsack, Hayman & Walsh, S.C., Attorneys at Law, by
Ms. Kristin Bergstrom, 700 North Water Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin
53202, appearing on behalf of Respondent.

NOTICE OF COMMISSION'S FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION
OF LAW AND ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS

Examiner Christopher Honeyman having, on April 16, 1984, issued his Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss, with Accompanying Memorandum, in the above-entitled proceeding, wherein the Examiner dismissed the complaint herein on the basis that the Commission is without jurisdiction to determine the merits of the complaint; and no petition for review of said Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss, with Accompanying Memorandum, having been filed within the twenty day statutory 1/ period set forth in Section 111.07(5), Stats.; and no intervening order by the Examiner or the Commission having been issued within said statutory period;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission issues the following


NOTICE


That, by operation of Section 111.07(5), Stats., Examiner Honeyman's Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss issued in the above-entitled matter became the Commission's Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss on May 7, 1984. 2/


Given under our hands and seal at the City of
Madison, Wisconsin this 14th day of May, 1984.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

By


Herman Torosian, Chairman


Gary L. Covelli, Commissioner


Marshall L. Gratz, Commissioner

1/ See Section 990.001(4)(b), Stats.

2/ See page two

Pursuant to Sec. 227.11(2), Stats., the Commission hereby notifies the parties that a petition for rehearing may be filed with the Commission by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.12(1) and that a petition for judicial review naming the Commission as Respondent, may be filed by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.16(1)(a), Stats.

227.12 Petitions for rehearing in contested cases. (1) A petition for rehearing shall not be prerequisite for appeal or review. Any person aggrieved by a final order may, within 20 days after service of the order, file a written petition for rehearing which shall specify in detail the grounds for the relief sought and supporting authorities. An agency may order a rehearing on its own motion within 20 days after service of a final order. This subsection does not apply to s. 17.025 (3)(e). No agency is required to conduct more than one rehearing based on a petition for rehearing filed under this subsection in any contested case.

227.16 Parties and proceedings for review. (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person aggrieved by a decision specified in s. 227.15 shall be entitled to judicial review thereof as provided in this chapter.

(a) Proceedings for review shall be instituted by serving a petition therefor personally or by certified mail upon the agency or one of its officials, and filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings are to be held. Unless a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, petitions for review under this paragraph shall be served and filed within 30 days after the service of the decision of the agency upon all parties under s. 227.11. If a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, any party desiring judicial review shall serve and file a petition for review within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of the application for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any such application for rehearing. The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition under this paragraph commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency. If the petitioner is a resident, the proceedings shall be held in the circuit court for the county where the petitioner resides, except that if the petitioner is an agency, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for the county where the respondent resides and except as provided in ss. 182.70(6) and 182.71(5)(g). The proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane county if the petitioner is a nonresident. If all parties stipulate and the court to which the parties desire to transfer the proceedings agrees, the proceedings may be held in the county designated by the parties. If 2 or more petitions for review of the same decision are filed in different counties, the circuit judge for the county in which a petition for review of the decision was first filed shall determine the venue for judicial review of the decision, and shall order transfer or consolidation where appropriate.

Note: For purposes of the above-noted statutory time-limits, the date of Commission service of this decision is the date it is placed in the mail (in this case the date appearing immediately above the signatures); the date of filing of a rehearing petition is the date of actual receipt by the Commission; and the service date of a judicial review petition is the date of actual receipt by the Court and placement in the mail to the Commission.