

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
BEFORE THE WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

-----  
THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF  
OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL 139,

Complainant,

vs.

TOWN OF MERCER,

Respondent.  
-----

Case 5  
No. 35731 MP-1771  
Decision No. 23136-C

Appearances:

Mr. George M. Blauvelt, Attorney at Law, P. O. Box Q, Mercer,  
Wisconsin 54547, appearing on behalf of the Town.  
Mr. Edward L. Guthman, Business Representative, Operating Engineers Local  
No. 139, AFL-CIO, 1007 Tower Avenue, Superior, Wisconsin 54880,  
appearing on behalf of the Union.

ORDER ADOPTING EXAMINER'S  
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Examiner Jane B. Buffett having on May 16, 1986 issued Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in the above matter pursuant to Sec. 227.09(2), Stats. wherein she concluded that the Town of Mercer's elimination of an employee tool allowance did not violate Secs. 111.70(3)(a)1 or 3, Stats., but that by remarks made by one of its officers, the Town of Mercer did violate Sec. 111.70(3)(a)1, Stats.; and the Examiner therefore having ordered the Town to cease and desist from engaging in such conduct and to post an appropriate notice; and no objections to the proposed decision having been filed; and the Town having on June 5, 1986 advised the Commission that it was complying with the Examiner's Order; and the Commission having reviewed the record and the Examiner's proposed decision and having concluded that said decision should be adopted as the Commission's decision in the matter;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is


ORDERED 1/


That the Examiner's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order are hereby adopted as the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission's.


Given under our hands and seal at the City of  
Madison, Wisconsin this 15th day of July, 1986.

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

By

  
Herman Torosian, Chairman

  
Marshall L. Gratz, Commissioner

  
Dahae Davis Gordon, Commissioner

1/ Pursuant to Sec. 227.11(2), Stats., the Commission hereby notifies the parties that a petition for rehearing may be filed with the Commission by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.12(1) and that a petition for judicial review naming the Commission as Respondent, may be filed by following the procedures set forth in Sec. 227.16(1)(a), Stats.

(Footnote 1 continued)

227.12 Petitions for rehearing in contested cases. (1) A petition for rehearing shall not be prerequisite for appeal or review. Any person aggrieved by a final order may, within 20 days after service of the order, file a written petition for rehearing which shall specify in detail the grounds for the relief sought and supporting authorities. An agency may order a rehearing on its own motion within 20 days after service of a final order. This subsection does not apply to s. 17.025 (3)(e). No agency is required to conduct more than one rehearing based on a petition for rehearing filed under this subsection in any contested case.

227.16 Parties and proceedings for review. (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person aggrieved by a decision specified in s. 227.15 shall be entitled to judicial review thereof as provided in this chapter.

(a) Proceedings for review shall be instituted by serving a petition therefor personally or by certified mail upon the agency or one of its officials, and filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings are to be held. Unless a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, petitions for review under this paragraph shall be served and filed within 30 days after the service of the decision of the agency upon all parties under s. 227.11. If a rehearing is requested under s. 227.12, any party desiring judicial review shall serve and file a petition for review within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of the application for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any such application for rehearing. The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition under this paragraph commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency. If the petitioner is a resident, the proceedings shall be held in the circuit court for the county where the petitioner resides, except that if the petitioner is an agency, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for the county where the respondent resides and except as provided in ss. 182.70(6) and 182.71(5)(g). The proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane county if the petitioner is a nonresident. If all parties stipulate and the court to which the parties desire to transfer the proceedings agrees, the proceedings may be held in the county designated by the parties. If 2 or more petitions for review of the same decision are filed in different counties, the circuit judge for the county in which a petition for review of the decision was first filed shall determine the venue for judicial review of the decision, and shall order transfer or consolidation where appropriate.

(b) The petition shall state the nature of the petitioner's interest, the facts showing that petitioner is a person aggrieved by the decision, and the grounds specified in s. 227.20 upon which petitioner contends that the decision should be reversed or modified.

. . .

(c) Copies of the petition shall be served, personally or by certified mail, or, when service is timely admitted in writing, by first class mail, not later than 30 days after the institution of the proceeding, upon all parties who appeared before the agency in the proceeding in which the order sought to be reviewed was made.

Note: For purposes of the above-noted statutory time-limits, the date of Commission service of this decision is the date it is placed in the mail (in this case the date appearing immediately above the signatures); the date of filing of a rehearing petition is the date of actual receipt by the Commission; and the service date of a judicial review petition is the date of actual receipt by the Court and placement in the mail to the Commission.