RECEIVED

SEP 0.9 1987

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT COUNTY

VILLAGE OF RIVER HILLS,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 87-CV-3897

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION,

Decision No. 24570-A

Respondent.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

TO: William C. Dineen
Attorney at Law
Suite 440, Empire Building
710 North Plankinton Avenue
Milwaukee WI 53203

Patrick J. Coraggio
Labor Association of Wisconsin, Inc.
2825 North Mayfair Road
Wauwatosa WI 53222

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a judgment, of which a true and correct copy is hereto attached, was signed by the court on the 4th day of September, 1987, and duly entered in the Circuit Court for Dane County, Wisconsin, on the 4th day of September, 1987.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this 8th day of September, 1987.

DONALD J. HANAWAY Attorney General

DAVID C. RICE

Assistant Attorney General

Attorneys for the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission

Department of Justice Post Office Box 7857 Madison WI 53707-7857 VILLAGE OF RIVER HILLS,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 87-CV-3897

WISCONSIN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION,

Decision No. 24570-A

Respondent.

JUDGMENT

The above-entitled action having been commenced on July 22, 1987, by service of an alternative writ of mandamus on the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission (WERC); and

The WERC having been ordered to show cause before the court, the Honorable James C. Boll, Circuit Judge, presiding, on August 19, 1987, as to why the WERC itself should not immediately act upon the motion to dismiss filed by the Village of River Hills in Labor Association of Wisconsin, Inc., and Village of River Hills Police Association v. Village of River Hills, Case 7, No. 38473, MP-1943, an administrative proceeding pending before the WERC; and

The Village of River Hills having appeared on August 19, 1987, by Attorney William C. Dineen, and the WERC having appeared by David C. Rice, Assistant Attorney General; and

The WERC having moved to quash the alternative writ of mandamus, to deny the petition for a writ of mandamus absolute, and to grant a writ of consultation authorizing its examiner to rule on the Village's motion to dismiss; and

The court having had the benefit of the written and oral arguments of the parties; and

The court having been satisfied that the examiner will act upon the Village's motion prior to any hearing in the administrative proceeding; and

The court having decided that an examiner is authorized to act upon motions to dismiss which are filed prior to hearing,

Now, Therefore, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That the alternative writ of mandamus, issued in this action on July 21, 1987, is quashed.
 - 2. The petition for a writ of mandamus absolute is denied.
- 3. The WERC examiner is authorized to rule on the Village's motion to dismiss.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this _____ day of August,

BY THE COURT:

HONGRABLE JAMES C. BOLL

Circuit Judge