DISTRICT III Office of the Clerk

## **COURT OF APPEALS**

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. BOX 1688 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688 WEB SITE: www.courts.state.wi.us

Cornelia G. Clark Clerk

December 12, 2000

*To:* 

Hon. Paul J. Lenz Eau Claire County Courthouse 721 Oxford Avenue Eau Claire, WI 54703-5496

David C. Rice Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Diana J. Miller, Clerk Eau Claire County Courthouse 721 Oxford Avenue Eau Claire, WI 54703-5496

James M. Ward Weld, Riley, Prenn & Ricci P.O. Box 1030 Eau Claire, WI 54702-1030

Sandra Lea Benedict 3642 Livingston Lane Eau Claire, WI 54701

John D. Finerty, Jr. Palmer & Finerty, S.C. 20800 Swenson Drive, Suite 425 Waukesha, WI 53186-4081

[Decision Nos. 29689-F, 29690-F, 29691-F]

[NOTE: This document was re-keyed by WERC. Original pagination has been retained.]

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

Sandra Lea Benedict v. LIRC, WERC, WEAC, Eau Claire 00-2458 Assoc. of Educators and Eau Claire Area School District (L.C. #00-CV-308)

Before Cane, C.J., Hoover, P.J., and Peterson, J.

Sandra Lea Benedict, pro se, appeals an order affirming a decision by the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission (WERC) to dismiss her complaint under the Municipal Employment Relations Act. The Labor and Industry Review Commission, WERC and most of the respondents have filed a motion for summary affirmance. Benedict has not filed a response. Because Benedict has disregarded many of the rules of

No(s). 00-2458

Appellate briefing, see Wis. STAT. Rule 809.19(1), we will strike her brief and dismiss the

appeal.

Benedict's brief is utterly devoid of adequate record citation and her contentions are

unintelligible, undeveloped and inadequately briefed. Under RULE 809.19(1)(e), proper

appellate argument requires an argument containing the contention of the party, the reasons

therefor, with citation of authorities, statutes and that part of the record relied on. We refrain

from addressing inadequate arguments. See State v. Shaffer, 96 Wis.2d 531, 545-46,

292 N.W.2d 370 (Ct. App. 1980). Additionally, although Benedict's brief purports to raise a

number of issues for review, none of them address either the bases for WERC's decision or the

circuit court's order affirming that decision.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the appellant's brief is stricken and the appeal is dismissed. See

WIS. STAT. RULE 809.83.

Cornelia G. Clark

Clerk of Court of Appeals

2