

### LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS ASSOCIATION

January 13, 2023

# WERC UPDATE

By Peter G. Davis-Staff Attorney\*\*

### I. Agency Information

#### **Commission and Staff**

Chairman James Daley-appointed and confirmed by the Senate for a term expiring March 1, 2023.

Attorney Peter Davis (1975-present)

Attorney Anfin Jaw (2022-present)

Attorney Katherine Scott (2022-present)

Office Manager Elena Lugo (2020-present

Paralegal Carolyn Gallagher (2020-present)

### **General Agency Contact Information**

Website = http://werc.wi.gov Email = werc@werc.state.wi.us Mailing Address = 2418 Crossroads Drive, Suite 1000, Madison, WI 53718-7896 General Phone 608 243-2424 Fax 608 243-2433

\*\* As always, the speaker's views and remarks are not necessarily those of the WERC.

### II. Case Intake Stable

The total WERC case intake has been stable for the last four fiscal years with roughly half of matters filed being "traditional" labor relations cases-excluding recertification elections.

Major Case Type	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22
Grievance Arbitration Mediation	27 30	27 27	37 22	44 32
Initial Election Petitions	18	14	18	22
Unfair Labor Practice Complaints	16	18	7	10
Declaratory Rulings	2	2	2	1
Independent Hearing Officer Requests	7	6	5	5
State Employee Civil Service Appeals	94	110	123	106
Recertification Elections	366	354	342	331

# III. Judicial Review of Labor Relations Issues

**Green Bay Prof. Police Ass'n v City of Green Bay**, 2021 AP 102-argued before the Wisconsin Supreme Court December 12, 2022.

- 1. Does providing notice to law enforcement officers of "the nature of the investigation" prior to being interrogated, satisfy Loudermill's requirement that a public employee be provided with notice and an opportunity to be heard with respect to disciplinary "charges" after a personnel investigation has been completed?
- 2. Is due process satisfied when a law enforcement officer is disciplined for "charges" never identified in either a Loudermill notice or Loudermill hearing, simply because his employer identified the policies that eventually led to such discipline (along with a host of others) prior to interrogating the officer?
- 3. Does Loudermill limit the government's ability to discipline its employees to the "charges" that are actually identified in a Loudermill notice and/or at a Loudermill hearing?
- 4. Did the arbitrator "manifestly disregard the law" articulated in Loudermill?

**Wisconsin Professional Police Ass'n v WERC,** 2022CV001674-Dane County CirCt-Judge Jacob Frost. Briefing on motion to stay pending.

Appeal of two City of Racine declaratory rulings (Dec No. 39447-Fire and Dec. No. 39446-Police) issued by WERC on July 6, 2022 determining that various health insurance provisions in existing collective bargaining agreements were prohibited subjects of bargaining under Sec. 111.70(4)(mc)6, Wis. Stats.

#### Service Employees International Union Healthcare Wisconsin v WERC,

2022CV003199-Dane County CirCt-Judge Jacob Frost. Briefing schedule to be established.

Appeal of a November 11, 2022 WERC declaratory ruling (Dec. No. 39765) concluding that the University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics Authority is not an "employer" under the Wisconsin Employment Peace Act.

# **IV. WERC Labor Decisions**

**Southern Door County Schools,** Dec. No. 39499-A (WERC, 11/22) WERC concludes that it will count late mail ballots postmarked at least six calendar days prior to the election date.

**City of Madison,** Dec. No. 39476 (WERC, 8/22) WERC determination as to whether certain employees must be excluded from the bargaining unit as "confidential" employees.

**Madison Schools**, Dec. No. 38944-A (Davis, 1/22), aff'd by operation of law, Dec. No. 38944-B (WERC, 1/22) Employer violated its duty to bargain by communicating directly with union represented employees regarding base wages.

Kettle Moraine Schools, Dec. No. 39585-A (WERC, 4/21) WERC prospectively prohibits election raffles.

**Waukesha County**, Dec. No. 38742-A (Davis, 5/21), aff'd Dec. No. 38742-B (WERC, 8/21) Employer interfered with employee rights by denying request for union representation and inaccurately minimizing the role of a union representative. Representation right exists even if no discipline issued.

**Waukesha County**, Dec. No. 38743-A (Davis, 4/21), aff'd by operation of law, Dec. No. 38743-B (WERC, 5/21) Employer interfered with employee rights by advising union representative she was not needed and could leave because no discipline would be imposed.

**City of Milwaukee**, Dec. No. 37964-A (Davis, 8/20), aff'd by operation of law, Dec. No. 37964-B (WERC, 9/20) Union not entitled to Employer's investigative file prior to Weingarten meeting.

**City of Beloit**, Dec. No. 38484-A (Davis, 9/20) aff'd 38484-B (WERC, 12/20) Sec. 111.70 (4) (d)1, Stats. does not create an enforceable employee statutory right to present a grievance to the Employer.