

**OFFICIAL**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF WISCONSIN

STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

\*\*\*\*\*

ROBERT WILLIAMS,

Appellant,

OPINION & ORDER

v.

Case No. 74-35

C. K. WETTENGEL, Director  
State Bureau of Personnel,

Respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

BEFORE: WILLIAM AHRENS, Chairman  
PERCY L. JULIAN, JR., Member  
SUSAN STEININGER, Member  
JOHN A. SERPE, Member

In this case the Appellant appealed a decision of the Director excluding him from an examination for Parole Board Member. The Respondent excluded the Appellant on the basis of the Respondent's assertion that the Appellant lacked the qualifications necessary for the job, specifically that the Appellant lacked the necessary two years involving administrative, supervisory, or upper level consultative responsibility either in social service programs or in programs primarily oriented to the needs or problems of adult or juvenile offenders; or an equivalent combination of training and experience.

The parties stipulated and agreed that the

1  
2  
3 Appellant had the other requisite training and experience  
4 required. The issue in this case is therefore to the extent  
5 that the parties have not stipulated regarding the training  
6 and experience, does the Appellant have sufficient quali-  
7 fications to comply with the training and experience require-  
8 ment as specified in the job announcement for Parole Board  
9 Member dated February 25, 1974.

10 We find that the Appellant does have the  
11 equivalent training and experience necessary and therefore  
12 will enter an Order directing that he be admitted to the  
13 examination.

14 We find that while he does not have in number  
15 of years, explicitly the two years involved in administrative,  
16 supervisory or upper level consultative responsibility in  
17 social service programs, he does have equivalent training and  
18 experience in dealing with programs involving ex-offenders  
19 and the problems and needs of adult or juvenile offenders,  
20 as well as other social service programs.

21 We understand that the Bureau of Personnel is  
22 charged with the duty of attempting to administer specifications  
23 and that they have done in this instance the best job they  
24 can, and we do not fault the Bureau for their performance.  
25 We feel, however, that in this judgmental area where there is  
in the specifications specifically an indication that  
equivalent training and experience is acceptable that the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Appellant meets this equivalent training and experience.

If one pays attention to the qualifications found in the specification for Parole Board Member and relates those specifically to the training and experience that the Appellant has, his training and experience is equal to, if not better than, that which is required.

It is therefore on the basis of the entire record herein Ordered that the Appellant be, and he hereby is, admitted to take the examination for Parole Board Member.

Board Member Susan Steininger dissents in this Opinion.

Dated: *May 17, 1974.*

STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

*William Ahrens*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William Ahrens, Chairman