PERSONNEL COMMISSION

STATE OF WISCONSIN

JAMES CONKLE,

Appellant,

v.

\*
Administrator, DIVISION OF \*

PERSONNEL, and Secretary, \*
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, \*

Respondent.

Case No. 81-100-PC

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

DECISION AND ORDER

This case is before the Commission on appeal of a reclassification request decision by the administrator.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The appellant has been employed by DOA, the Department of Administration, approximately 1 year as a Maintenance Mechanic 2, a classified civil service position.
- 2. During appellant's employment with DOA his primary responsibilities have been performing preventive maintenance and repairing mechanical equipment in the various building systems of the GEF 1 state office building. Appellant's specific tasks include: periodic repairing of pumps, compressors, electric motors, snow blowers, lawn mowers, tractors, trucks, small internal combustion engines; performing minor work sheet metal fabrication, iron work and welding; and assisting craftsworkers in technical aspects of building maintenance. These tasks are done under the direction of the maintenance supervisor or craftworker.
- 3. In the spring of 1981 appellant's position was reviewed by the respondent to determine its appropriate classification. It was concluded that the position was properly classified. The appellant timely appealed respondent's reclassification request decision to this Commission, April 8, 1981. At the prehearing conference

(, , )

Conkle v. DP & DOA Case No. 81-100-PC ' Page Two

the parties agreed that the issue was whether appellant's position was more appropriately classified as Maintenance Mechanic 2 or Maintenance Mechanic 3. The issue in this matter is as agreed by the parties.

4. The language of the Maintenance Mechanic 2 class description is as follows:

"This is responsible mechanical maintenance and repair work, exclusive of preventive maintenance. Employes in this class function at the full performance level as determined by the work unit. Work at this level is characterized by the latitude to exercise independent judgment and individual initiative. Work is performed under the direction of higher level mechanical personnel."

The class specifications for Maintenance Mechanic 3 contains the following description:

"This is highly specialized and/or lead mechanical maintenance and repair work. Employes in this class repair and maintain the most complicated and intricate mechanical equipment associated with heating, ventilating, air conditioning, refrigeration, boiler operation, fuel storage and dispensing and electrical systems. Employes in this class may also function independently on a shift responsible for an entire mechanical maintenance operation in an institution, or for an assigned area of a complex operation. Work at this level is performed under the minimal supervision of a program supervisor or administrator."

The foregoing language is pertinent to the issue in this matter.

- 5. The appellant's position is better described by the class specifications for a Maintenance Mechanic 2 than by those for a Maintenance Mechanic 3 and his duties compare favorably with those of other state employes performing at the Maintenance Mechanic 2 level.
- 6. The appellant's position is properly classified at the Maintenance Mechanic 2 level.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

 The Commission has jurisdiction to hear this matter pursuant to s.230.44(1)(b), Wis. Stats. Conkle v. DP & DOA Case No. 81-100-PC Page Three

- 2. The burden of proof is on the appellant.
- 3. The appellant has failed to sustain the burden of proof.
- 4. The respondent's decision to deny the reclassification request for appellant's position was correct.

## OPINION

In the opinion of the Commission the appellant's position is correctly classified as Maintenance Mechanic 2. While the appellant may have trained other maintenance personnel, used a milling machine, performed in welding and metal? fabrication of new equipment - allegations disputed by the respondent - these tasks which are at the Maintenance Mechanic 3 level, did not constitute the majority of his job duties and responsibilities.

# ORDER

The decision of the respondent is affirmed and this appeal is dismissed.

Dated: , 1981 STATE PERSONNEL COMMISSION

DONALD R. MURPHY

Chairperson

DRM:ers

### Parties

James Conkle, Jr. Rm 114, DOA 201 E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI 53702 Charles Grapentine Division of Personnel 149 E. Wilson St. Madison, WI 53702 Kenneth Lindner Secretary, DOA 101 S. Webster St. Madison, WI 53702